ELECTRONICALLY RECEIVED 12/6/2024 6:47 PM Electronically Filed Superior Court of California Anthony J. Orshansky (SBN 199364) 1 County of Santa Cruz anthony@counselonegroup.com December 12, 2024 COUNSELONE, PC 2 Clerk of the Court by Deputy, 9465 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 300 Broughton, Karen Beverly Hills, California 90212 3 Tel: (310) 277-9945 / Fax: (424) 277-3727 4 Edwin Aiwazian (SBN 232943) edwin@calljustice.com 5 LAWYERS for JUSTICE, PC 410 West Arden Avenue, Suite 203 6 Glendale, California 91203 7 Tel: (818) 265-1020 / Fax: (818) 265-1021 Attorneys for Plaintiff 8 9 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA CRUZ 12 EDGAR GUTIERREZ, individually, and on Case No.: 19-CV-03725 13 behalf of other members of the general public | PROPOSED | AMENDED FINAL ORDER 14 similarly situated, AND JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION 15 Plaintiff, FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND 16 REPRESENTATIVE ACTION v. SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, AND CLASS 17 REPRESENTATIVE'S ENHANCEMENT ZERO MOTORCYCLES INC., an unknown 18 **PAYMENT** business entity; and DOES 1 through 100, inclusive. 19 Further Case Management Hearing Date: January 9, 2025 Defendants. 20 Time: 8:30 a.m. Dept.: 5 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 [PROPOSED] AMENDED FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT

WHEREAS, this matter came on for hearing on July 26, 2022, at 8:30 a.m. before the Honorable Timothy Volkmann in Department 5 of the Santa Cruz County Superior Court, located at 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz, California 95060, upon the motion of the Plaintiff Edgar Gutierrez ("Plaintiff") for final approval of the settlement set forth in the proposed Class Action and PAGA Settlement Agreement and Stipulation ("Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement") attached as Exhibit "1" to the Declaration of Jennifer L. Connor filed concurrently with the motion;

WHEREAS, the parties and the Settlement Administrator have completed supplemental administration and distribution of funds as set forth in the November 6, 2024 Declaration of Bryn Bridley on file with the Court;

WHEREAS, the Court's November 21, 2024 Order directed Plaintiff to submit an amended Judgment reflecting the supplemental administration and distribution of funds;

WHEREAS, due and adequate notice was given to the members of the Class, the Court having considered all papers filed and proceedings in this case and good cause appearing therefore, and

WHEREAS, all defined terms contained herein have the same meanings as set forth in the Stipulation,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that:

- 1. Capitalized terms not otherwise defined herein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Settlement.
 - 2. The Court has jurisdiction over this matter and venue is proper.
- 3. The Court finds that this Action is properly maintained as a class action, for settlement purposes only, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382 and California Rule of Court 3.769.
- 4. For settlement purposes only, the "Class" and "Class Member(s)" for purposes of this Order shall mean:

Class Members - All current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt employees who worked for Defendant Zero Motorcycles, Inc. within the State of California at any time during the Class Period (collectively, the "Class" or "Class Members").

- 5. The "Class Period" is defined as the time period between December 16, 2015 and June 13, 2021.
- 6. After distribution of the Court-approved Notice of Class Action and PAGA Settlement ("Notice") and a forty-five (45) day opt-out period, the Settlement Administrator reports that response from the 146 Class Members resulted in zero objections and zero opt-outs/request for exclusions. While ten (10) of the 146 Notices mailed were returned as undeliverable, the four (4) undeliverable Notices/Supplemental Notices received prior to the opt-out deadline were remailed to newly obtained addresses after a skip-trace was conducted.
- 7. Thus, the Class consisting of 146 Class Members shall be bound by this Final Approval Order or any release provided herein.
 - 8. CounselOne, P.C. and Lawyers *for* Justice, P.C. are appointed Class Counsel.
 - 9. Named Plaintiff Edgar Gutierrez is appointed Class Representative.
 - 10. The Court approves Atticus Administration, Inc. as the Settlement Administrator.
- 11. The Court finds that the 6-page Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement, along with Share Form and Workweek Dispute (collectively, "Notice Packets") which were attested to and authenticated by the Settlement Administrator [see, internal exhibit "A" to the Declaration of Bryn Bridley Regarding Notice and Settlement Administration] as mailed to Class Members by the Settlement Administrator was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice provided due process and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein, including the proposed terms of settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said Class Notice fully satisfied the requirements of the law and the requirements of due process. Out of the 146 putative Class Members, zero Class Members submitted opt-outs/requests for exclusion (thus, 100% of the Class Members are participating in the Settlement), and no Settlement Class Members objected to the Settlement.
- 12. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate to the Class Members, is in the best interest of the Class Members, has been entered into in good faith and should be and hereby is fully and finally approved. The Settlement represents a fair resolution

of all claims asserted on behalf of Plaintiff and the Class Members, and fully and finally resolves all such claims.

- 13. The Court hereby approves the class settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement and finds that the settlement is, in all respects, fair, adequate and reasonable and directs the Parties and Settlement Administrator to effectuate the Settlement according to its terms. The Court finds that the settlement has been reached as result of intensive, serious and non-collusive arm's length negotiations. The Court finds that the Parties have conducted extensive investigation and research and counsel for the Parties are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions. The Court also finds that settlement at this time will avoid additional substantial costs, as well as avoid the delay and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of this Action. The Court has noted the significant benefits to the Class Members under the Settlement.
- 14. As of the Effective Date, Plaintiff and each Settlement Class Member, on behalf of himself or herself and on behalf of his or her respective heirs, assigns, beneficiaries and successors, shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Approval Order shall have, fully and irrevocably released and forever discharged the Released Parties from all Settlement Class Members' Released Claims, as more fully set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 15. The Court orders that, upon the Effective Date, the Settlement shall be the exclusive remedy for any and all Plaintiff's Released Claims of Plaintiff, and for any and all Class Members' Released Claims of each and every Class Member.
- 16. The Settlement Amount to be paid by Defendant Zero Motorcycles, Inc. is a non-reversionary \$506,615.04 as part of the Gross Settlement Amount. The Gross Settlement Amount ("GSA") will be used for the following: (1) to satisfy the Individual Settlement Payments to Class Members; (2) to pay the Court-approved Class Representative Enhancement Payment; (3) to pay the PAGA Penalties including the Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") payment and to Class Members who worked during the PAGA Period; (4) to pay the Settlement Administration Costs; (5) to pay the Court-approved Class Counsel's attorneys' fees; and (6) to pay the Court-approved Class Counsel's actual litigation costs. The Gross Settlement Amount is exclusive of employer federal and state payroll taxes.

- 17. The requested award of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in the amount of \$148,750 is approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable and is to be divided between the two law firms, Lawyers *for* Justice, P.C. and CounselOne, P.C. in accord with their respective 57.5% and 42.5% fee split terms per their written fee agreement.
- 18. The requested award of litigation costs of \$8,847.04 to Class Counsel \$1,914.39 on behalf of Lawyers *for* Justice, P.C. and \$6,932.65 on behalf of CounselOne, P.C. for expenses incurred is approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable.
- 19. The Class Representative enhancement payment in the amount of \$7,500 to the Class Representative is approved as being fair and reasonable compensation for the Class Representative's efforts in initiating and prosecuting this action, the work involved, and the risks assumed.
- 20. The Court approves the costs of the Settlement Administrator, Atticus Administration, Inc., in the amount of \$16,000 for the notification and settlement administration services it performed in connection with this Action, which includes the award of \$10,000 requested in Plaintiff's July 22, 2022 Motion for Final Approval, as well as the additional \$6,000 for supplemental administration services set forth in the November 6, 2024 Declaration of Bryn Bridley on file with the Court, which was paid out of the additional funds provided by the triggering of the escalator provision in the Settlement Agreement as also set forth in the same Bridley Declaration.
- 21. The payment of the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") for civil penalties in the amount of \$40,000.00, \$30,000.00 of which will be paid to the LWDA pursuant to statute, is approved.
- 22. From the Net Settlement Amount, the Settlement Administrator shall pay all individual settlement amount payments to Class Members, including the employment taxes for wage-related portions thereto. The calculation, timing, and payment of individual settlement checks to Class Members will be made by the Defendant and Settlement Administrator in specific accord with paragraph C.13.a-b, and among other paragraphs, in the Settlement Agreement. (Settlement Agreement ¶ C.13.a-b.)
- 23. The Settlement provides that any uncashed checks remaining 180 days after distribution will be provided to a *cy pres* recipient, pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 384.

Counsel for the Parties propose and the Court approves the California non-profit, Legal Services for Children. (Settlement Agreement ¶ C.13.c.) Legal Services for Children is a 501(c)(3) not-for-profit with a mission to train volunteers to advocate and represent the interests of abused and neglected children and youth in the juvenile court process, along with schools and other community environments. On December 6, 2024, the Settlement Administrator mailed payment in the amount of \$27,528.34 to the *cy pres* recipient.

- 24. The Court finds the settlement on the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement was made in good faith and constitutes a fair, reasonable, and adequate compromise of the released claims against Defendant. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court hereby retains continuing jurisdiction over the interpretation, implementation, and enforcement of the settlement and all orders and judgments entered in connection therewith.
- 25. Without further order of the Court, the Parties may agree to reasonably necessary extensions of time to carry out any of the provisions of the settlement.

Based on the foregoing Order the Court enters the following Judgment:

Judgment

- 26. Judgment is hereby entered pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 3.769(h). Without affecting the finality of this Order and further pursuant to Rule 3.769(h), the Court retains exclusive and continuing jurisdiction over the litigation for purposes of supervising, implementing, interpreting, and enforcing this order and the Settlement Agreement, and in order to conduct the final compliance hearing on certification of distribution procedure.
- 27. The Settlement Administrator shall post this Judgment on the Settlement website for a period of at least 30 days. This posting shall provide the requisite notice of the Judgment to the Settlement Class and satisfy the requirements of California Rules of Court, Rule 3.771(b).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 9, 2024

Honorable Judge of the Superior Court

Copliali

Cogliati, Syda

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 9465 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 300, Beverly Hills, CA 90212. 4 5 On December 6, 2024, I caused to be served the following document(s) to the address(es) and by the method of service described below: 6 [PROPOSED] AMENDED FINAL ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S UNOPPOSED MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS AND 7 REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT, ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS, AND CLASS REPRESENTATIVE'S ENHANCEMENT PAYMENT 8 Julie A. Marguis Nicole M. Clowdslev FREEMAN, MATHIS & GARY, LLP 10 1010 B Street, Suite 400 11 San Rafael, CA 94901 Email: jmarquis@fmglaw.com Email: nclowdsley@fmglaw.com 12 Attorneys for Defendant 13 (BY E-SERVICE) I delivered to LEGAL DOCUMENT SERVER, an e-filing 14 [X]and e-service provider with the Superior Court of California for the County of Santa Cruz, the above-described document(s) to be filed and electronically 15 served through the Superior Court's e-filing system on the above registered 16 participants on this date. I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. 17 Executed on December 6, 2024 at Beverly Hills, California. 18 19 Anthony J. Orshansky 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28